

CYCLOTOMIC QUANTUM CLOCK

HARET C. ROSU

*Applied Mathematics, IPICyT, Apdo. Postal 3-74 Tangamanga, San Luis Potosí,
México*

E-mail: hcr@ipicyt.edu.mx

M. PLANAT

*Laboratoire de Physique et Métrologie des Oscillateurs du CNRS, 25044 Besançon
Cedex, France*

E-mail: planat@lpmo.edu

Dated: August 2003; File: RP.tex

Proc. ICSSUR-8, Puebla, Mexico, 9-13 June 2003

*Eds. H. Moya-Cessa, R. Jáuregui, S. Hacyan, O. Castaños
Rinton Press, ISBN 1-58949-040-1, pp. 366-372 (Nov. 2003)*

In the wake of our recent work on cyclotomic effects in quantum phase-locking [M. Planat & H. C. Rosu, Phys. Lett. A 315, 1 (2003)], we briefly discuss here a cyclotomic extension of the Salecker & Wigner quantum clock. We also hint on a possible cyclotomic structure of time at the Planck scales.

1 Introduction

Time can be defined in many different ways depending on context and discipline.¹ In quantum physics its operatorial features that are required by the quantum formalism are a matter of ongoing debate.

Indeed, time appears to be merely a parameter (*c*-number) but of course dynamical variables of specific quantum systems (clocks) may be used as substitutes of time. In 1933, Pauli gave a famous argument on the impossibility of introducing a *universal* time operator T_u obeying the canonical commutation relation $[T_u, H] = i\hbar$ for any Hamiltonian. According to Pauli,³ this is forbidden because *some* systems have discrete energy eigenvalues. This forces one to introduce a discrete time operator which is not universal because there are many types of discrete spectra. Thus, as recently stated by Hilgevoord,² a quantum clock system may display a continuous time variable, or a discrete time variable, or no time variable at all.

In this work, we introduce a cyclotomic approach for the quantum clock model of Salecker and Wigner (SW).⁴ We also comment on a possible cyclotomic structure of the Planck time.

2 The Salecker-Wigner (SW) Clock

We will follow Peres' discussion of SW clock properties,⁵ due to his introduction of the pointer states (see below) and a time projector operator for this case. By quantum clock one basically means a microscopic device able to measure time with an accuracy τ over a time lag $T = n\tau$. This minimal requirement has been imposed by Salecker and Wigner in 1958 in the context of quantum limitations of the measurements of space-time distances that led to the 'smallest clock' Wigner constraints.⁴ During the interval T , the quantum mechanical state of the clock goes through N orthogonal vectors, equivalent to asserting that the wave function of such a clock could be written as a superposition of N stationary states u_k , $k = 1, \dots, N$

$$\phi(t) = \sum_1^N a_k u_k e^{-i\omega_k t} . \quad (1)$$

The energy values of the stationary states were denoted by $\hbar\omega_k$. The wave function ϕ goes through the N orthogonal states at times $\tau, 2\tau, \dots, N\tau$ if $a_k = N^{-1/2}$ and $\omega_k = \omega_0 + 2\pi k/N\tau = \omega_0 + 2\pi k/T$, see Eq. (11) below. In general an odd number, $N = 2j + 1$, of clock states of the azimuthal type

$$u_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{in\theta} , \quad n = 0, \dots, N-1 , \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi) , \quad (2)$$

are employed because of their simple Hamiltonian that implies an ordered time evolution.

2.1 SWP Pointer States $v_k(\theta)$

Peres introduced another orthogonal basis of clock states based on the azimuthal states

$$v_k(\theta) = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i k n / N} u_n(\theta) = (2\pi N)^{-1/2} \frac{\sin \left[\frac{N}{2} \left(\theta - \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right) \right]}{\sin \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\theta - \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right) \right]} \quad (3)$$

for $k = 0, \dots, N-1$. For large N , these functions have a sharp peak at

$$\theta_{\text{peak}} = \frac{2\pi k}{N} \quad (4)$$

and can be visualized as "pointing to the k th hour" with an angle uncertainty $\pm\pi/N$. This is why we call these states pointer states.

We can then define projection operators

$$P_k v_m = \delta_{km} v_m , \quad (5)$$

and a “clock time” operator

$$T_c = \tau \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} k P_k , \quad (6)$$

where τ is the time resolution of the quantum clock.

The eigenvectors of T_c are the functions v_k , and the corresponding eigenvalues are $t_k = k\tau$, with $k = 0, \dots, N-1$. Therefore, measuring T_c can at best yield a discrete approximation to the true time similar to an ordinary watch. The initial state of the clock can be always assumed to be v_0 .

The clock Hamiltonian will be written as

$$H_c = \omega J , \quad (7)$$

where $\omega = 2\pi/N\tau$ and $J = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\theta$. Since

$$H_c u_m = m\hbar\omega u_m , \quad (8)$$

one gets

$$\exp(-iH_c t/\hbar) u_m = e^{-im\omega t} u_m = (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{im(\theta - \omega t)} . \quad (9)$$

It follows that

$$\exp(-iH_c \tau/\hbar) v_k = v_{k+1 \pmod{N}} , \quad (10)$$

showing that the clock passes succesively through the states $v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots$ at time intervals τ .

2.2 Commutation operator

These discrete Hamiltonian and clock-time operators cannot satisfy $[T_c, H_c] = i\hbar$. A straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_m | [T_c, H_c] | u_n \rangle &= \langle v_m | [T_c, H_c] | v_n \rangle = 0 & (n = m) \\ &= i\hbar \frac{\frac{2\pi i}{N}(n-m)}{1 - \exp[\frac{2\pi i}{N}(n-m)]} & (n \neq m) . \end{aligned}$$

This rather complicated result is due to the discontinuity of the quantum clock time when going from the $2j$ th to the zeroth hour eigenvalue.

2.3 Energetics of the SW clock

The pointer eigenstates v_k satisfy $\langle H_c \rangle = 0$ and

$$(\Delta H_c)^2 = |H_c v_k|^2 = \frac{\hbar^2 \omega^2}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} m^2 \approx \hbar^2 \omega^2 N^2 / 3 . \quad (11)$$

For large N , the energy uncertainty

$$\Delta H_c \approx N\hbar\omega/\sqrt{3} \approx (\pi/\sqrt{3})(\hbar/\tau) \quad (12)$$

is almost as large as the maximum available energy $\approx N\hbar\omega$. This demonstrates that the clock H_c is essentially a nonclassical object.

3 Cyclotomic reformulation

A state space of finite dimension N is also the basic framework of the Pegg & Barnett (PB) quantum phase formalism.⁶ The PB phase states are the equivalent of the v_k pointer states. The difference is that the former are defined as superpositions of number states, whereas the latter are similar types of superpositions but of azimuthal states u_n . Thus, we can apply our recent cyclotomic procedure in quantum phase-locking to the quantum clock problem. We write the SWP pointer states in the form

$$|v_p\rangle = N^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e_N^p(-n) |u_n\rangle, \quad (13)$$

where

$$e_N^p(-n) = \exp(-2i\pi \frac{p}{N}n) \quad (14)$$

is the n^{th} power of the p^{th} root of the unity in the ordered set from 0 to $N-1$; in group theoretical terms it is the character of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Notice that p is a fixed number in the set $(0 \dots N-1)$. The states $|v_p\rangle$ can be considered as quantum *inverse* discrete Fourier transforms on the set of azimuthal states. They are inverse Fourier because of the minus sign in e_N^p . Once this noticed, one can proceed with the cyclotomic extension of the model in parallel with our recent work on quantum phase-locking.⁷

3.1 Time operator with coprimality

We can define a cyclotomic time operator

$$T_{cyclot} = \tau \sum_{\substack{p=0 \\ (p,N)=1}}^{N-1} p |v_p\rangle \langle v_p|, \quad (15)$$

where $(p, N) = 1$ means that the sum is taken over all p coprime with N .

3.2 Cyclotomic canonical commutator

The calculation of the cyclotomic SW time-hamiltonian commutator leads to the following expression

$$[T_{cyclot}, H_c] = \frac{\tau}{N} \sum_{\substack{p, n, l=0 \\ (p, N)=1}}^{N-1} p(l-n) e_N^p(-n) e_N^{*p}(-l) |v_n\rangle \langle v_l| . \quad (16)$$

The matrix elements of the cyclotomic canonical commutator in the clock states are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_n | [T_{cyclot}, H_c] | v_n \rangle &= 0 , \\ \langle v_l | [T_{cyclot}, H_c] | v_n \rangle &= \frac{\tau}{N} \sum_{\substack{p=0 \\ (p, N)=1}}^{N-1} p(l-n) \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi p}{N}(l-n)\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

3.3 Commutator for a general superposition of azimuthal states

Let us consider now a general superposition state of azimuthal states

$$|f\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} c_n |u_n\rangle . \quad (18)$$

The expansion in SW pointer states will be

$$|f\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n,p}^{N-1} c_n \exp(-2i\pi \frac{p}{N}n) |v_p\rangle . \quad (19)$$

The expectation value of the cyclotomic canonical commutator in the $|f\rangle$ states will be

$$\langle f | [T_{cyclot}, H_c] | f \rangle = \frac{\tau}{N} \sum_{\substack{n,l \\ (p, N)=1}}^{N-1} c_n^* c_l a_{ln} , \quad (20)$$

where

$$a_{ln} = \sum_{\substack{p \\ (p, N)=1}}^{N-1} (l-n)p \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi p}{N}(l-n)\right) . \quad (21)$$

4 Cyclotomic time at Planck scale ?

In this section, we speculate on a possible cyclotomic structure of time at the Planck ‘quantum’ scale $t_P \approx 5.4 \times 10^{-44}$ s.

There is a wealth of recent literature on observable consequences of Planckian fluctuations, i.e., quantum fluctuations of general relativity. Especially interesting is the recent debate on whether the usual Airy rings form in the case of distant compact radiation sources, such as active galactic galaxies and gamma ray bursts.⁸

We think that a detailed investigation of cosmic diffraction could reveal a fundamental cyclotomic structure. Cyclotomy of the Planckian time would lead to fingerprints in the phase of the high-energy electromagnetic pulses of astrophysical and/or cosmological origin. In other words, the temporal diffraction of such pulses on the cyclotomic structure of the Planck time could produce revivals like in ordinary quantum mechanics. In his 1996 RMP colloquium on string duality,⁹ Polchinski tackled higher dimensions as waveguides and discussed a Klein-Gordon equation in five dimensions as an equivalent of a corresponding Helmholtz equation. Taking more seriously this optical analogy, one can elaborate on both spatial and temporal self-imaging (Talbot) effects within this Planckian waveguides of cross section 10^{-66} cm² similarly to Rohwedder’s recent study on self-imaging in atom waveguides.¹⁰ What might be the origin of a fundamental cyclotomic structure ? In the case of dynamical events of measurement type the origin is known to be phase-locking. Since quantum gravity is essentially a nonlinear theory one should imagine a sufficiently general framework for a nonlinear phase-locking phenomenon such as autoresonance.¹¹ A resonant driving of a quantum field relativistic Bose-Einstein condensate could be a reasonable option.

Before concluding, it is worth mentioning that cyclotomic features at the Planckian scale revealed by a Talbot type effect are not the only way to introduce number-theoretic ideas at this ultimate scale. A sort of wave-particle complementarity (i.e., between the Helmholtz equation and Fresnel integral) for the Talbot effect has been related to the so-called reciprocity property of Gauss sums in number theory by Matsutani and Ônishi.¹² Interestingly, coprimality conditions are also important in Gauss sum reciprocity.

5 Conclusion

The concept of cyclic properties of time in quantum mechanics could, in principle, foster interesting ideas. We introduced a cyclotomic modification of the common definition of the time operator as a projector in the space of the pointer states of the Salecker-Wigner quantum clock. Finally, we commented on a possible cyclotomic structure of time at the Planck scales.

References

1. *Time in Science and Philosophy*, edited by J. Zeman (Elsevier, New York, 1971).
2. J. Hilgevoord, *Time in quantum mechanics*, Am. J. Phys. **70**, 301 (2002).
3. W. Pauli, *General Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (1933), (Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1980).
4. H. Salecker and E.P. Wigner, *Quantum limitations of the measurement of space-time distances*, Phys. Rev. **109**, 571 (1958); See also, D. Alonso, R. Sala Mayato and J.G. Muga, *Quantum time-of-flight measurements: Kicked clock versus continuous clock*, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 032105 (2003); Y. Aharonov et al., *Measurement of time of arrival in quantum mechanics*, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 4130 (1998).
5. A. Peres, *Measurement of time by quantum clocks*, Am. J. Phys. **48**, 552 (1980).
6. D.T. Pegg and S.M. Barnett, *Phase properties of the quantized single-mode electromagnetic field*, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 1665 (1989).
7. M. Planat and H.C. Rosu, *Cyclotomy and Ramanujan sums in quantum phase locking*, Phys. Lett. A **315**, 1 (2003), quant-ph/0304101.
8. R. Lieu and L.W. Hillman, *The phase coherence of light from extragalactic sources - direct evidence against first order Planck scale fluctuations in time and space*, Ap. J. **585**, L77 (2003); R. Ragazzoni, M. Turatto, W. Gaessler, *Lack of obs. evidence for quantum structure of space-time at Planck scales*, Ap. J. **587**, L1 (2003) ; Y. Jack et al., *Probing Planck scale physics with extragalactic sources*, Ap. J. **591**, L87 (2003); D.H. Coule, *Planck scale still safe from stellar images*, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 3107 (2003).
9. J. Polchinski, *String duality*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1245 (1996).
10. B. Rohwedder, *Self-imaging in atom waveguides*, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 053604 (2001).
11. L. Friedland, *Autoresonant solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 3865 (1998).
12. S. Matsutani and Y. Ônishi, *Wave-particle complementarity and reciprocity of Gauss sums on Talbot effects*, math-ph/0207011; See also, M.V. Berry and S. Klein, *Integer, fractional and fractal Talbot effects*, J. Mod. Opt. **43**, 2139 (1996); M.T. Flores-Arias et al., *Talbot effect interpreted by number theory*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **18**, 2707 (2001).

*In a cyclical concept of time every starting point will have to be an ending point.
The notion of cyclical time is common to religions like Buddhism and Hinduism.
Indian mythology refers to cyclical time as 'anaadi' or that without a beginning.
Cyclotomy is the counterpart of 'anaadi' in Mathematics.*